

UNITED ST. ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/535,067	03/23/00	BUBAR		R	4645/31
_		IM22/1108	, 7		EXAMINER
MICHAEL P C		,	TRAN	LIEN, T	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P O BOX 10395				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO IL				1761	3
				DATE MAILED	: 11/08/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

·Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/535,067

Applicant(s)

Bubar

Examiner

Lien Tran

Group Art Unit 1761



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 23, 2000				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will some the			
Disposition of Claims				
	is/are pending in the application.			
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	is/are allowed			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to Claims are subject to restriction or election				
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsparson's Potent Drawin	na Paris - PTO 040			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object				
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	of the priority documents have been			
	-1.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu				
received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 11 S C & 119(a)			
	, and or o. o. o. o. a 119/e/.			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	(a/c) 2			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	0(5)2			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	48			
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FULLUWING PAGES			

Application/Control Number: 09/535067

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 8-11, drawn to the apparatus, classified in class 99, subclass 450.1.
 - II. Claim 12, drawn to the product, classified in class 426, subclass 94.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as by hand.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Michael Chu on Nov. 1, 2000 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claim 12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/535067

Art Unit: 1761

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulucci (4842882).

Paulucci discloses a laminated crust comprising a plurality of fat layers distributed between layers of dough.

Paulucci does not disclose the use of margarine. However, it would have been an obvious matter of preference to substitute margarine for shortening or vice versa. It is well known in the art to use margarine, butter or shortening interchangeably in baked product. As to how the crust is made, the process by which the product is made does not determine its patentability.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Thursday from 5:30-4:00 and Friday 5:30-2:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.



Application/Control Number: 09/535067

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

LIEN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Oporp 1700